

SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

Newberry School District

Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 5,962

Superintendent: Mr. Bennie Bennett

Board Chair: Mr. Don Saylor

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING | PALMETTO GOLD A | ND SILVER AWARD | AYP STATUS | NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS |
|------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | | | General Performance | Closing the Gap | | |
| 2009 | Average | Below Average | N/A | N/A | Not Met | CA |
| 2008 | Below Average | Average | N/A | N/A | Not Met | CDI |
| 2007 | Below Average | Excellent | N/A | N/A | Not Met | NI |

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

| EXCELLENT | GOOD | AVERAGE | BELOW AVERAGE | AT-RISK |
|-----------|------|---------|---------------|---------|
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 0 |

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 06/01/2010. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

| PASS | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PASS | 2009 Reading | 2009 Math | 2009 Science | 2009 Social Studies | 2009 Writing | |
| | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | |
| District | 65.5% | 63.1% | 59.4% | 63.1% | 62.0% | |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 71.6% | 68.4% | 64.2% | 69.0% | 68.0% | |
| Average District | 73.6% | 70.5% | 67.4% | 72.2% | 70.2% | |

| PASS | 2009 Reading | 2009 Math | 2009 Science | 2009 Social Studies | 2009 Writing |
|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary |
| District | 26.5% | 21.3% | 12.1% | 17.5% | 22.0% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 32.5% | 26.7% | 16.8% | 25.1% | 27.2% |
| Average District | 35.2% | 28.8% | 18.8% | 28.5% | 29.8% |

| HSAP | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| HSAP: 2nd Year Students | Passed 2 Subtests (%) | Passed 1 Subtest (%) | Passed No Subtests (%) |
| District | 71.3% | 12.5% | 16.3% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 74.0% | 13.5% | 12.4% |

| HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2009 | (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| District | 93.9% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 93.1% |

| End of Course Test Passage Rate (% | 6) |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| District | 55.1 |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 56.6 |

| On-Time Graduation Rate (%) | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| District | 85.7% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 74.6% |

^{**} Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

NAEP:

* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

| T Groomagoo at 14 | 121 7 torno vornorn | 2010101 | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|---|
| READING - GRAD | DE 4 (2007) | | | | |
| South Carolina | 41 | 33 | 20 | 5 | |
| Nation | 34 | 34 | 24 | 7 | |
| READING - GRAD | E 8 (2007) | | | | |
| South Carolina | 31 | 44 | | 23 | 2 |
| Nation | 27 | 43 | | 27 | 2 |
| | % Below Basic | % Basic, Proficie | ent, and A | dvanced | t |
| ■ Below Basic | Basic Proficient | Advanced | | | |
| MATH - GRADE 4 | (2007) | | | | |

| MATH – GRADE 4 (20 | 07) | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|------|---|
| South Carolina | 20 | 44 | | 31 | 5 |
| Nation | 19 | 43 | | 33 | 5 |
| MATH - GRADE 8 (20 | 07) | | | | |
| South Carolina | 29 | 39 | 24 | 7 | |
| Nation | 30 | 39 | 24 | 7 | |
| (| % Below Basic | % Basic, Proficien | t, and Adva | nced | |
| ■ Below Basic □ Basi | c Proficient | ■ Advanced | | | |

| SCIENCE - GRA | ADE 4 (2 | (005) | | | | |
|----------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------|-----|
| South Carolina | | 36 | 39 | | 23 | 2 |
| Nation | | 34 | 39 | | 25 | 2 |
| SCIENCE - GRA | ADE 8 (2 | 005) | | | | |
| South Carolina | | 46 | 31 | 21 | 2 | |
| Nation | | 43 | 30 | 24 | | 3 |
| | % | Below Basic | % Basic, Proficie | ent, and | Advan | ced |
| Below Basic | ☐ Basic | ☐ Proficient | ■ Advanced | | | |

SC PERFORMANCE GOAL

2010 Goal:

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

2020 Vision:

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

Newberry School District DISTRICT PROFILE

| | Our District | Change from Last Year | Districts with Students Like Ours | Median District |
|--|--------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Students (n=5,962) | | | | |
| Retention rate | 2.9% | Down from 4.3% | 3.2% | 3.1% |
| Attendance rate | 95.6% | No Change | 95.6% | 95.7% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 12.7% | Up from 12.2% | 12.7% | 11.2% |
| With disabilities other than speech | 11.1% | Down from 11.6% | 11.1% | 10.6% |
| Older than usual for grade | 3.9% | Down from 4.3% | 5.0% | 4.3% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.7% | Down from 0.8% | 0.6% | 0.5% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 5.4% | Down from 7.6% | 9.0% | 10.5% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | 49.2% | N/A | 51.4% | 51.2% |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship | 28.1% | Up from 27.9% | 29.8% | 30.8% |
| Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs | 74 | Up from 69 | 47 | 40 |
| Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs | 44 | Up from 29 | 36 | 30 |
| Annual dropout rate | 4.6% | Up from 4.5% | 4.0% | 3.4% |
| Teachers (n=439) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 62.2% | Up from 57.8% | 58.1% | 56.8% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 77.9% | Up from 65.5% | 79.0% | 76.7% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 5.6% | Up from 5.5% | 4.0% | 4.6% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 85.9% | Up from 85.2% | 90.0% | 88.4% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 94.6% | Down from 94.8% | 95.0% | 95.0% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$44,587 | Up 4.6% | \$47,035 | \$46,992 |
| Vacancies for more than nine weeks | 1.1% | Down from 1.6% | 0.3% | 0.4% |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 1.2% | Down from 2.0% | 1.6% | 2.3% |
| Professional development days/teacher | 16.4 days | Up from 10.8 days | 13.4 days | 13.1 days |
| District | | | | |
| Superintendent's years at district | 3.0 | Up from 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 18.8 to 1 | Down from 18.9 to 1 | 20.0 to 1 | 20.5 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 88.0% | Down from 90.2% | 89.6% | 89.8% |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$10,068 | Up 6.3% | \$9,316 | \$9,279 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 52.7% | Down from 54.6% | 53.3% | 52.7% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 55.7% | Down from 57.7% | 56.9% | 56.7% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Excellent | No Change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Number of schools | 14 | No Change | 9 | 9 |
| Portable classrooms | 6.7% | Down from 11.9% | 3.3% | 3.5% |
| Average age in years of school facilities | 27 Years | Up from 26 Years | 28 Years | 28 Years |
| Number of schools with SACS accreditation | 14.0 | No Change | 9.0 | 8.0 |
| Parents attending conferences | 91.3% | N/A | 95.0% | 93.9% |
| Average administrator salary | \$81,780 | Up 4.6% | \$78,634 | \$79,261 |
| Number of charter schools | 0 | No Change | 0 | 0 |
| % of AYP objectives met Length of contract = 185+ days. | 75.9% | Up from 62.1% | 85.7% | 85.7% |

CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

** Prior year audited financial data available.

| School Name | Absolute Rating | Growth Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| There are no charter schools in this district. | | | |

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

As we look back on the 2008-2009 school year, we do so with much pride for the many successes of our teachers and students. Our focus in this district, in our schools, and for our students is continuous improvement. A number of our schools received recognition at the state level. Two were Palmetto Gold recipients, and three received Palmetto Silver awards. We also had two schools recognized for their efforts in closing the achievement gap with Silver awards. The District graduation rate improved to 81.6. Our district teacher of the year, Mrs. Debbie Moore, was selected as a finalist for the State Teacher of the Year. We also received District accreditation from AdvancEd and successfully met the expectations and responsibilities of the SACS-CASI Guided Self Study. The quality assurance Review Team commended the School District of Newberry County for its outstanding leadership, a commitment to district improvement, and fiscal responsibility.

We realize that our school facilities play a major role in our overall instructional program. Therefore, our Board of Trustees has continued to move forward with our capital improvement program. Brand new buildings and major renovations to existing buildings can be seen throughout the district.

Although we still have many challenges, it is important to celebrate the successes of this past year. These successes are made possible through the efforts and commitment of our dedicated trustees, capable administrators, and talented faculty and staff. We realize that the support of our families and community is essential to the overall development of our students.

We thank you for your continued support, and we ask that you stay involved with what is taking place in our school district so that we may continue to provide the best learning experience for our students.

Mr. Bennie Bennett, Superintendent

Mr. Don Saylor, Chairman, Board of Trustees